



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/007,422	12/05/2001	Toru Shirayanagi	PW 0277025 H7606US	3044
7590 03/10/2004				
Pillsbury Winthrop LLP Intellectual Property Group Suite 2800 725 South Figueroa Street Los Angeles, CA 90017-5406		EXAMINER GRIER, LAURA A		
		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
		2644		
		DATE MAILED: 03/10/2004		

3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/007,422

Applicant(s)

SHIRAYANAGI, TORU

Examiner

Laura A Grier

Art Unit

2644

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 05 December 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____. |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____. | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____. |

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Figure 2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

3. **Claim 3** is objected to because of the following informalities: line 1, "M" should read as "N"; and line 2, "N" should read as "M". For examination purposes, the limitation will be considered based on the suggested change. Appropriate correction is required.

4. **Claim 7** is objected to because of indefiniteness of the interpretation of "N calculators", as to whether the "N calculators" is equal in number to "N channels", which has been defined as an integer greater than M, wherein M is an integer greater than zero (independent claim 4). For examination purposes, the numerical quantity of "N calculators", will be considered independent of the numerical quantity of "N channels".

5. **Claim 8** is objected to because of indefiniteness of the interpretation of "M multipliers", as to whether the "M multipliers" is equal in number to "M channels", which has been defined as an integer greater than zero (independent claim 4). For examination purposes, the numerical quantity of "M multiplier", will be considered independent of the numerical quantity of "M channels".

Specification

6. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required:

Claim 1 recites “converting N-channel audio signals (where ‘N’ is an integer greater than zero) to M-channel audio channel signals (where ‘M’ is an integer smaller than ‘N’)”;

on page 4, the specification recites, “the encoding device converts the four-channel or five-channel audio signals to two-channel audio signals”. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 10 recites “converting N-channel audio signals (where ‘N’ is an integer greater than zero) to M-channel audio channel signals (where ‘M’ is an integer smaller than ‘N’)”;

on page 4, the specification recites, “the encoding device converts the four-channel or five-channel audio signals to two-channel audio signals”. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

8. **Claims 1-3 and 10-11** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for an encoder converting 4 to 5 channels to 2 channels, does not reasonably provide enablement for “N is an integer greater than zero” and “M is an integer

smaller than N ". The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Respectively, independent claim 1 and independent claim 10, recites: ' N ' is an integer greater than zero and ' M ' is an integer smaller than ' N ', where it is understood that N is greater than zero, ($N > 0$), and M is less than N , ($M < N$), for example, if $N = 1$, and with M being less than N , M can be any integer within the range of 0 to $-\infty$, thus making the encoder result with an indefinite solution. It is suggested that N be an integer greater than 1 to provide enablement of the encoder.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

10. **Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, and 9** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Davis et al, U. S. Patent No. 5291557.

Regarding **claim 1**, Davis et al. (herein, Davis) discloses adaptive rematrixing of matrixed audio signals (figures 1A and 3A). Davis' disclosure comprises

an encode matrix (2), which reads on a matrix encoder, that receives 4 audio signals and outputs 2 weighted sum signals (L_T and R_T) of the 4 audio input signals (col. 8, lines 33-38 and figures 1A and 3A), which reads on converting the N -channel audio signals (where ' N ' is an integer greater than zero), to M -channel audio signals (where ' M ' is an integer smaller than ' N ');

an encoder (fig. 1a- reference 16, and figure 3a - reference 48/50) includes a low-bit-rate transform or subband coder (which may operate in the digital domain) for encoding the 2 output signals (L_T and R_T , now A and B) of the encode matrix and encode rematrix (col. 9, lines 21-47), wherein the digital coders generate coefficients (col. 4, lines 48-53), which reads on a matrix coefficient calculation unit, where the matrix coefficients are to be used in the decoding of the M-channels in the inverse transforms (col. 9, lines 30-36, and col. 12, lines 35-38);

and, as well the encoder inherently constitutes as a compression unit as evident by the fact that low-bit rate reduction takes place in the coders (col. 11, lines 54-67 and col. 12, lines 1-2) prior to transmission output or storage, which reads on compression on the M-channel audio signal, which are output therefrom together with the matrix coefficients.

Regarding **claim 3**, Davis discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 1), Davis further discloses the encoder converting four signal channels to two output signals (col. 8, lines 33-38), which reads on N set to four or five while M is set to two, so the matrix encoder converts four-channel or five-channel audio signals to two-channel audio signals.

Regarding **claim 4**, Davis discloses a decoder apparatus (fig. 1b and fig. 3b) comprising a de-multiplex/de-format (62) coupled to bit-rate reduction decoders (68 and 70) for receiving compressed M-channel signals (64 and 66), wherein, the signals are subjected to bit-rate reduction in the decoders, which inherently reads on expansion of M-channels producing two signals (74 and 76) as evident by the fact bit rate reduction takes place in the decoder, which is an inverse of bit rate reduction that takes places in the encoder (col. 12, lines 11-50).

and calculations of the M-channels (two channel signals) using the matrix coefficients (frequency components – col. 4, lines 48-53) are implemented in the inverse transforms (84 and

86) coupling output to a decode matrix (28) for producing the N-channel audio signals, L', C', R', and S' channels - (col. 12, lines 11-50), with 'N' being an integer greater than "M", wherein the transforms use Discrete Sine and Cosine transforms applications (col. 11, lines 30-42), which reads a calculation unit.

Regarding **claim 6**, Davis discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 4). Davis (fig. 3b) discloses two channels (74 and 76), and after calculation via the inverse transforms coupled to rematrix and the decode matrix, L', C', R', and S' channel signals are output, which reads on M is set to two while N is set to four or five, therein as claimed.

Regarding **claim 7**, Davis discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 4). Davis further inherently discloses the calculation unit with N calculators as evident by use of the two inverse transforms (84 and 86) – thus 2 calculator, wherein the transforms use Discrete Sine and Cosine transforms mathematical operations (col. 11, lines 30-42), via coupling to the decode matrix for converting the M-channel signals to N-channel signals, L', C', R', and S', at the output of the decode matrix, which reads on the calculation unit, therein as claimed.

Regarding **claim 8**, Davis discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 7). Davis further inherently discloses calculators with M multipliers as evident by the mathematical operations, Discrete Sine and Cosine transform applications within the inverse transforms, in which the outputs of the transforms are provided to the decode matrix, wherein the adders are inherently provided via the decode matrix for implementing the output of the N-channels, as evident by the fact that the a signal output signal of a single signal of the N-channel is based upon a combination of the left and right signals, and/or a portion thereof of the other signals to produce the N-channel signals as input into an encoder.

Regarding **claim 9**, Davis discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 4). Davis further discloses the components of the decoder, the low-bit rate decoder, and adaptive rematrix may be combined (col. 12, lines 56-66) with digital signal processing device, like the encoder (col. 9, lines 62-68 and col. 10, lines 1—and 39-42), and as well, it is inherent the transforms (calculation units) of the decoder are DSPs as evident by the fact that the transforms of the encoder were implementing using DSP techniques, and the same quality of process is required in decoding for generating the original audio signal for output, which reads the calculation unit being actualized by a DSP.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

12. **Claims 2, 10 and 11** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davis in view of Li et al., U. S. Patent No. 6161088.

Regarding **claim 2**, Davis discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 1). However, Davis fails to disclose the compression in accordance with MPEG Standard.

Regarding compression in accordance with MPEG standard, in a similar field of endeavor, Li et al. (herein, Li) disclose a method and system for encoding a digital audio signal (figure 1). Li discloses encoder for providing a compressed audio signal which in generated in accordance with MPEG standard (col. 5, lines 26-30, and col. 4, lines 50-65)

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention Davis, which includes coding using psychoacoustics, by implementing compression in accordance with MPEG standard having psychoacoustic modeling characteristic, for the purpose of providing high quality audio compression and transmission as taught by Li, wherein MPEG standard compression technique is commonly used with psychoacoustic modeling of an audio signal.

Regarding **claim 10**, Davis et al. (herein, Davis) discloses adaptive rematrixing of matrixed audio signals. Davis' disclosure comprises an encoder (figures 1A and 3A) and decoder (figures 1B and 3B), wherein

an encode matrix (2), which reads on a matrix encoder, that receives 4 audio signals and outputs 2 weighted sum signals (L_T and R_T) of the 4 audio input signals (col. 8, lines 33-38 and figures 1A and 3A), which reads on the N-channel audio signals (where 'N' is an integer greater than zero) being subjected to encoding M-channel audio signals (where 'M' is an integer smaller than 'N'); an encoder (fig. 1a- reference 16, and figure 3a - reference 48/50) includes a low-bit-rate transform or subband coder (which may operate in the digital domain) for encoding the 2 output signals (L_T and R_T , now A and B) of the encode matrix and encode rematrix (col. 9, lines 21-47), wherein the digital coders generate coefficients (col. 4, lines 48-53), which reads on a matrix coefficient calculation and, as well the encoder obviously constitutes as a compression unit as evident by the fact that low-bit rate reduction takes place in the coders (col. 11, lines 54-67 and col. 12, lines 1-2) prior to transmission output or storage, which reads on compression on the M-channel audio signal to produce M-channel audio signals, which reads on the encoder;

a decoder (fig. 1b and fig. 3b) comprising a de-multiplex/de-format (62) coupled to bit-rate reduction decoders (68 and 70) for receiving compressed M-channel signals (64 and 66), wherein, the signals are subjected to bit-rate reduction in the decoders, which obviously reads on expansion of M-channels producing two signals (74 and 76) as evident by the fact bit rate reduction takes place in the decoder, which is an inverse of bit rate reduction that takes places in the encoder (col. 12, lines 11-50); and calculations of the M-channels using the matrix coefficients (frequency components – col. 4, lines 48-53) are implemented in the inverse transforms (84 and 86) coupling output to a decode matrix (28) for producing the N-channel audio signals, L', C', R', and S' channels - (col. 12, lines 11-50), with 'N' being an integer greater than "M", wherein the transforms use Discrete Sine and Cosine transforms applications (col. 11, lines 30-42), which reads on the decoding device. However, Davis fails to specifically disclose a compression of the M-channels in accordance with MPEG standard.

Regarding compression in accordance with MPEG standard, in a similar field of endeavor, Li's disclosure comprises an encoder which includes compression based upon MPEG standard (Li - col. 5, lines 26-30, and col. 4, lines 50-65), which reads on a subjected compression.

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention Davis, which includes coding using psychoacoustics, by implementing compression in accordance with MPEG standard having psychoacoustic modeling characteristic, for the purpose of providing high quality audio compression and transmission as taught by Li, wherein MPEG standard compression technique is commonly used with psychoacoustic modeling of an audio signal.

Regarding **claim 11**, Davis and Li discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 10). Davis further discloses the decoder, the low-bit rate decoder, and adaptive rematrix may be combined (col. 12, lines 56-66) with digital signal processing device, like the encoder (col. 9, lines 62-68 and col. 10, lines 1—and 39-42), which reads the decoder being actualized by a DSP.

13. **Claim 5** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davis in view of Laczko, Sr. et al., U. S. Patent No. 5845239.

Regarding claim 5, Davis discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 4). However, Davis fails to disclose expansion being performed in accordance with MPEG standard.

Regarding expansion in accordance with MPEG standard, Laczko, Sr. et al. (herein, Laczko) discloses audio data processing. Laczko's disclosure teaches the use of MPEG decompression, which constitutes MPEG expansion (col.1, lines 14- 22).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Davis, which includes psychoacoustic modeling characteristic during compression, by implementing expansion of the compressed audio channels in accordance to MPEG standard for the purpose of providing efficient and real-time decompression and broadcast of audio signals as taught by Laczko.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura A Grier whose telephone number is (703) 306-4819. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30 am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Forester W. Isen can be reached on (703) 305-4386.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:


Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

LAG 
March 5, 2004